

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/628,442	07/29/2003	Chan-Tung Chen	3624-0120P	5302	
2292	7590 09/28/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			BLAU, STEPH	BLAU, STEPHEN LUTHER	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3711	*	

DATE MAILED: 09/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	ľ	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7			
Office Action Summary		10/628,442	CHEN, CHAN-TUNG				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Stephen L. Blau	3711				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addres	s			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE COMMU	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n a reply within the statutory minimum of th riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tatute, cause the application to become A	n reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commur ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
1) 🔀	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	4 Sentember 2004					
2a)∏	•	This action is non-final.					
3)							
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,4 and 6-8</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>2,3 and 5</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.					
10)	0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	- · ·	` '				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•					
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. Idents have been received in a priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stag	ie			
Attachmen							
1) Motic 2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB, r No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	I			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Type of Head

- a. Species 1 (Fig. 5): Claim 4.
- b. Species 2 (Fig. 7): Claims 4-5.
- c. Species 3 (Fig. 8):
- d. Species 4 (Fig. 9): Claim 5.
- e. Species 5 (Fig. 10):.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-3 and 6-8 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Joe Muncy (Reg. No. 32,334) on 24 September 2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of figure 7, claims 1-8. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Figure 7 contains all the claims so not claims are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/628,442 Page 4

Art Unit: 3711

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chen.

Chen discloses a first stepped portion (14, Fig. 4), a groove (30, Figs. 2, 4), an outer rim of a striking plate connected to a body at a first stepped portion (Fig. 4), a groove retaining melting metal with the plate and body welded together (Fig. 4), and a groove filled with a soldering flux (Fig. 4).

Very little weight is given to the type of welding since this is an apparatus claim and not a method claim and weight is give to what an apparatus is and not how it is made.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen in view of Lee.

Chen lacks an inner space of a main body closed. Lee discloses an inner space of a main body closed (Figs. 3-10). In view of the patent of Lee it would have been

Application/Control Number: 10/628,442 Page 5

Art Unit: 3711

obvious to modify the head of Chen to have an inner space of a main body closed in order to utilize the advantage of attaching a striking plate to body of Chen to a head with a body closed as a wood type head.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 2-3 and 5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. With respect to claims 2-3, none of the prior art discloses a second stepped portion further inward from a first stepped portion in addition to the other elements of structure claimed. With respect to claim 5, none of the prior art discloses an outer periphery of a striking plate being bent to form a vertical extending ring wall in addition to the other elements of structure claimed.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. GB 2,264,872 discloses a groove for adhesive.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Application/Control Number: 10/628,442

Art Unit: 3711

Page 6

examiner should be directed to Steve Blau whose telephone number is (703) 308-2712.

The examiner is available Monday through Friday from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.. If the examiner is unavailable you can contact his supervisor Greg Vidovich whose telephone number is (703) 308-1513. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is

(703) 308-0858. (TC 3700 Official Fax 703-872-9306)

slb/ 24 September 2004

STEPHEN BLAU PRIMARY EXAMINER